UNITED STATES OF AMERICA U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY UNITED STATES COAST GUARD

UNITED STATES COAST GUARD,

Complainant,

VS.

ZAKARIA AHMED ALI,

Respondent,

Docket Number 2024-0124 Enforcement Activity Number 7864781

DEFAULT DECISION

Issued: May 15, 2024

By: George J. Jordan, Administrative Law Judge

Appearances:

LT Meghan Palomba Sector Virginia For the Coast Guard

Zakaria Ahmed Ali, *Pro se*For the Respondent

This matter comes before me based on the United States Coast Guard's (Coast Guard) Motion for Default Order (Motion for Default). As of the date of this order, Zakaria Ahmed Ali (Respondent) has not replied to the Complaint nor the Motion for Default. Upon review of the record and pertinent authority, the allegations in the Complaint are **PROVED**.

On March 1, 2024, the Coast Guard issued a Complaint against Respondent seeking to revoke his Merchant Mariner Credential (MMC) for being a user of a dangerous drug, as described by 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b). On March 4, 2024, the Coast Guard served the Complaint on Respondent via express courier and Respondent failed to file an answer. On April 11, 2024, the Coast Guard then filed a Motion for Default serving Respondent again by express courier. To date, more than twenty days have passed from service of the Motion for Default and Respondent has neither filed an answer nor requested an extension of time to file an answer. 33 C.F.R. § 20.308(a).

As Respondent has neither filed an answer nor asserted good cause for failing to do so, I find Respondent in **DEFAULT**. 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(a); <u>Appeal Decision 2700 (THOMAS)</u> (2012). A default constitutes an admission of all facts alleged in the Complaint and waiver of the right to hearing on those facts. 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(c). I find the following factual allegations in the Complaint **ADMITTED**:

- 1. On September 27, 2023, Respondent took a required Pre-Employment (Applicant), drug test in accordance with Civilian Marine Personnel Instruction 792 (CMPI 792), pursuant to Executive Order 12564, and Public Law 100-71 (PL 100-71).
- 2. A urine specimen was collected from Respondent by Franklin Zelaya of Arcpoint Labs in Herndon, Virginia, in accordance with Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs.
- 3. Respondent signed a Federal Drug Testing Custody and Control Form for providing urine specimen ID# M3823696.
- 4. Urine specimen ID# M3823696 was received by and analyzed, pursuant to Mandatory Guidelines for Federal Workplace Drug Testing Programs by U.S. Army Forensic Toxicology Drug Testing Laboratory (FTDTL), Fort Meade, Maryland, a SAMHSA certified laboratory.

- 5. On October 02, 2023, urine specimen ID# M3823696 tested positive for Marijuana (THCA) metabolites as reported by FTDTL.
- 6. On October 14, 2023, Dr. Michelle Alexander, the Medical Review Officer determined that Respondent failed a chemical test for dangerous drugs.
- 7. Respondent has been the user of a dangerous drug, as described by 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b).

Upon finding Respondent in default, I must now issue a decision against him. 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(d). In reviewing the record, I find that the facts deemed admitted are sufficient to establish that Respondent is a user of a dangerous drug as outlined in 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b), 46 C.F.R. § 16.201(b), <u>Appeal Decision 2603 (HACKSTAFF)</u> (1998), and <u>Appeal Decision 2704 (FRANKS)</u> (2014). Accordingly, I find Respondent is a user of a dangerous drug.

SANCTION

Having found Respondent in default and all allegations in the Complaint proved, I now must determine the appropriate sanction. 33 C.F.R. § 20.902(a)(2). While it is within the sole discretion of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to determine the appropriate sanction at the conclusion of a case. Appeal Decision 2362 (ARNOLD) (1984). A proved allegation that a mariner is a of user of a dangerous drug carries a mandatory sanction of revocation of their MMC unless they can prove cure. 46 U.S.C. § 7704(b). The Coast Guard proved Respondent is a user of a dangerous drug, thus the only sanction to levy is revocation. Id.

WHEREFORE,

<u>ORDER</u>

Upon consideration of the record, I find Respondent in **DEFAULT**.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, in accordance with 33 C.F.R. § 20.310, I find the allegations set forth in the Complaint PROVED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, all of Respondent's Coast Guard issued credentials, including Respondent's Merchant Mariner Credential (MMC), are **REVOKED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, Respondent shall immediately deliver all Coast Guard issued credentials, licenses, certificates, or documents, including the MMC, by mail, courier service, or in person to: LT Meghan Palomba, United States Coast Guard Sector Virginia, 200 Granby Street, Suite 700, Norfolk, VA 23510. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 2197, if Respondent knowingly continues to use the Coast Guard issued MMC, Respondent may be subject to criminal prosecution.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, pursuant to 33 C.F.R. § 20.310(e), for good cause shown, an ALJ may set aside a finding of default. A motion to set aside a finding of default may be filed with the ALJ Docketing Center in Baltimore. The motion may be sent to the U.S. Coast Guard Administrative Law Judge Docketing Center; Attention: Hearing Docket Clerk; Room 412; 40 S. Gay Street; Baltimore, MD 21202-4022.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, service of this Default Order on the parties serves as notice of appeal rights set forth in 33 C.F.R. § 20.1001-20.1004 (Attachment A).

SO ORDERED.

Done and dated, May 15, 2024, Seattle, Washington

George J. Jordan

Administrative Law Judge